

**From:** Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>  
**Sent:** Friday, September 10, 2021 8:02 AM

**To:** churt@washingtontimes.com; cchumley@washingtontimes.com;  
ksadler@washingtontimes.com

**Subject:** **Columnists Bruce & Thomas -- LEAD: The Democratic Senate Judiciary Committee office does NOT answer its phones, return voice messages, or respond to requests for info & documents pertaining to President Biden's 4 U.S. Attorney nominees for NY**

**Attachments:** [8-4-21-hawley.pdf](#)

**TO: Columnists/The Washington Times**  
**Charles Hurt, Opinion Editor**  
**Cheryl Chumley, Online Opinion Editor**  
**Kelly Sadler, Commentary Editor**

My below yesterday's e-mail to columnists Tammy Bruce and Cal Thomas came back as undeliverable – notwithstanding I used the e-mail addresses listed by The Washington Times' website for contacting them.

Kindly verify with columnists Bruce and Thomas that their listed e-mail addresses are correct, change the listed e-mail addresses if not, and forward this e-mail to them irrespective.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director  
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
[www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org)  
914-421-1200

---

**From:** Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewatch.org>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 9, 2021 12:55 PM  
**To:** 'churt@washingtontimes.com' <[churt@washingtontimes.com](mailto:churt@washingtontimes.com)>; 'cchumley@washingtontimes.com' <[cchumley@washingtontimes.com](mailto:cchumley@washingtontimes.com)>; 'ksadler@washingtontimes.com' <[ksadler@washingtontimes.com](mailto:ksadler@washingtontimes.com)>; 'commentary@tombasile.com' <[commentary@tombasile.com](mailto:commentary@tombasile.com)>; 'info@tammybruce.com' <[info@tammybruce.com](mailto:info@tammybruce.com)>; 'tconstantine@washingtontimes.com' <[tconstantine@washingtontimes.com](mailto:tconstantine@washingtontimes.com)>; 'josephcurl@gmail.com' <[josephcurl@gmail.com](mailto:josephcurl@gmail.com)>; 'danielhoffman@yahoo.com' <[danielhoffman@yahoo.com](mailto:danielhoffman@yahoo.com)>; 'me@dauidakeene.com' <[me@dauidakeene.com](mailto:me@dauidakeene.com)>; 'robertknight4@gmail.com' <[robertknight4@gmail.com](mailto:robertknight4@gmail.com)>; 'cliff@fdd.org' <[cliff@fdd.org](mailto:cliff@fdd.org)>; 'mike@mwrstrat.com' <[mike@mwrstrat.com](mailto:mike@mwrstrat.com)>; 'epiper@dreverettpiper.com' <[epiper@dreverettpiper.com](mailto:epiper@dreverettpiper.com)>; 'tcaeditors@tribune.com' <[tcaeditors@tribune.com](mailto:tcaeditors@tribune.com)>; 'swalker@washingtontimes.com' <[swalker@washingtontimes.com](mailto:swalker@washingtontimes.com)>  
**Cc:** 'cdolan@washingtontimes.com' <[cdolan@washingtontimes.com](mailto:cdolan@washingtontimes.com)>; 'cgainor@washingtontimes.com' <[cgainor@washingtontimes.com](mailto:cgainor@washingtontimes.com)>; 'vmorton@washingtontimes.com'

[<vmorton@washingtontimes.com>](mailto:vmorton@washingtontimes.com)

**Subject: LEAD: The Democratic Senate Judiciary Committee office does NOT answer its phones, return voice messages, or respond to requests for info & documents pertaining to President Biden's 4 U.S. Attorney nominees for NY**

**TO: Columnists/The Washington Times**

**Charles Hurt, Opinion Editor  
Cheryl Chumley, Online Opinion Editor  
Kelly Sadler, Commentary Editor  
Tom Basile  
Tammy Bruce  
Tim Constantine  
Joseph Curl  
Daniel Hoffman  
David Keene  
Robert Knight  
Clifford May  
Michael McKenna  
Everett Piper  
Cal Thomas  
Scott Walker**

Below is the e-mail I sent a short time ago to relevant Washington Times masthead editors, entitled: "LEAD: The Democratic Senate Judiciary Committee office does NOT answer its phones, return voice messages, or respond to requests for info & documents pertaining to President Biden's 4 U.S. Attorney nominees for NY". I am forwarding it to you so that you may opine on the subject – including for other news outlets to which you contribute.

I am available to answer questions and to assist you, to the max, in alerting the public to the indefensible, corrupt manner in which our elected federal officers operate.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director  
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
[www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org)  
914-421-1200  
[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)

-----

---

**From:** Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) [<elena@judgewatch.org>](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)

**Sent:** Thursday, September 9, 2021 12:10 PM

**To:** 'cdolan@washingtontimes.com' [<cdolan@washingtontimes.com>](mailto:cdolan@washingtontimes.com); 'cgainor@washingtontimes.com' [<cgainor@washingtontimes.com>](mailto:cgainor@washingtontimes.com); 'vmorton@washingtontimes.com' [<vmorton@washingtontimes.com>](mailto:vmorton@washingtontimes.com); 'churt@washingtontimes.com' [churt@washingtontimes.com](mailto:churt@washingtontimes.com)

**Subject: LEAD: The Democratic Senate Judiciary Committee office does NOT answer its phones, return voice messages, or respond to requests for info & documents pertaining to President Biden's 4 U.S. Attorney nominees for NY**

**TO: The Washington Times**

**ATT: Christopher Dolan, President & Executive Editor  
Cathy Gainor, Managing Editor  
Victor Morton, National News Editor  
Charles Hurt, Opinion Editor**

I am director and co-founder of the New York-based national, non-partisan, non-profit citizens' organization, Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) – and deeply concerned that President Biden's four nominees for U.S. Attorney for New York will politicize their offices by NOT prosecuting public corruption involving New York's mostly Democratic public officers.

On [March 23<sup>rd</sup>](#) and [April 27<sup>th</sup>](#), I communicated this concern by written messages to the Democratic Biden White House – without response. On [July 26<sup>th</sup>](#), the Biden White House announced its first set of eight U.S. Attorney nominations – among them for New York's western district. To this, I responded by reaching out to Republican Senate Judiciary Committee Member Josh Hawley, including by the above-attached August 4<sup>th</sup> letter. In the absence of any response – and in the wake of the Biden White House's second set of eight U.S. Attorney nominations, announced on [August 10<sup>th</sup>](#) – with three for New York's other districts: southern, eastern, and northern -- I reached out to other members of the Senate Judiciary Committee's Republican minority – and then to the Senate Judiciary Committee's Democratic majority office, to its Republican minority office and to Democratic minority members. My chain of e-mails reciting what has been happening – culminating in my September 3<sup>rd</sup> e-mail, to which I have received NO response – is below.

In short, the Democratic Senate Judiciary Committee majority office does NOT answer its phones, return voice messages, or respond to requests for info and documents pertaining to President Biden's 4 U.S. Attorney nominees for New York. The Republican Senate Judiciary Committee minority office and members are only marginally better – notwithstanding the HUGE opportunity that my August 4<sup>th</sup> letter furnished them to raise essential questions about White House vetting, to topple – by the answers -- NY's mostly-Democratic highest state officers in its three government branches, to demonstrate a modicum of fealty to former President Trump, and to showcase what has been concealed, TOTALLY, by New York's "fake news"/corruption-abetting, election-rigging press – virtually all of it "left-leaning" and "progressive".

All of the above and below – with substantiating EVIDENTIARY links – is posted on CJA's website, [www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org), on a webpage entitled: "President Biden's New U.S. Attorneys for NY -- Will they be non-partisan enforcers of the Rule of Law and Equal Justice when doing so will require them to prosecute NY's mostly Democratic top state officers -- & expose that their U.S. Attorney predecessors politicized and corrupted their own offices?" It is accessible from the website's "Latest News" top panel. The direct link is here: <http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/searching-federal/2021-new-us-attorneys-for-ny.htm>.

Please let me hear from you soon as to whether the Washington Times will investigate and report on this politically-explosive, far-reaching story – which I am furnishing to you FIRST and, by separate e-mail,

forwarding to your listed columnists so that they might opine on the subject. I will cc you on my e-mail to them.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director  
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
[www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org)  
914-421-1200  
[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)

-----

---

**From:** Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)>  
**Sent:** Friday, September 3, 2021 2:39 PM  
**To:** 'gabrielle\_kenny@judiciary-rep.senate.gov' <[gabrielle\\_kenny@judiciary-rep.senate.gov](mailto:gabrielle_kenny@judiciary-rep.senate.gov)>;  
'eric\_teetsel@hawley.senate.gov' <[eric\\_teetsel@hawley.senate.gov](mailto:eric_teetsel@hawley.senate.gov)>;  
'tyler\_grassmeyer@sasse.senate.gov' <[tyler\\_grassmeyer@sasse.senate.gov](mailto:tyler_grassmeyer@sasse.senate.gov)>;  
'scheduling@whitehouse.senate.gov' <[scheduling@whitehouse.senate.gov](mailto:scheduling@whitehouse.senate.gov)>;  
'scheduling@hirono.senate.gov' <[scheduling@hirono.senate.gov](mailto:scheduling@hirono.senate.gov)>; 'scheduler\_cruz@cruz.senate.gov'  
<[scheduler\\_cruz@cruz.senate.gov](mailto:scheduler_cruz@cruz.senate.gov)>; 'cruz\_press@cruz.senate.gov' <[cruz\\_press@cruz.senate.gov](mailto:cruz_press@cruz.senate.gov)>;  
'brian\_winseck@coons.senate.gov' <[brian\\_winseck@coons.senate.gov](mailto:brian_winseck@coons.senate.gov)>;  
'cassie\_fields@coons.senate.gov' <[cassie\\_fields@coons.senate.gov](mailto:cassie_fields@coons.senate.gov)>;  
'saurabh\_sanghvi@coons.senate.gov' <[saurabh\\_sanghvi@coons.senate.gov](mailto:saurabh_sanghvi@coons.senate.gov)>;  
'aaron\_stanislawski@coons.senate.gov' <[aaron\\_stanislawski@coons.senate.gov](mailto:aaron_stanislawski@coons.senate.gov)>

**Subject: Pres. Biden's 4 US Attorney Nominees for NY -- & the SJC's Sept. 15th oversight hearing of the FBI's "dereliction of duty" in the Larry Nassar case (a piece of a larger whole of FBI nonfeasance, misfeasance, & corruption, requiring oversight)**

**TO: U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee**

**RE: President Biden's 4 US Attorney nominees for New York: Trini Ross ([WDNY – PN#931](#)); Breon Peace ([EDNY – PN #1036](#)); Damian Williams ([SDNY – PN #1038](#)); and Carla Freedman ([NDNY – PN #1042](#)).**

This follows my below August 18<sup>th</sup> e-mail, with the above-attached August 4<sup>th</sup> letter to Senator Hawley – to which I received no response from anyone except counsel at the Committee's Republican minority office, Gabrielle Kenny, who called me that same day, August 18<sup>th</sup>, and, after a 20-minute phone conversation, made a phone appointment for August 20<sup>th</sup>, when we spoke for an hour, discussing the serious and substantial conflicts of interest about which President Biden's above 4 nominees for U.S. Attorney for NY must be questioned, arising from the [December 19, 2020 "swamp-draining" public corruption complaint](#) that NY's acting U.S. Attorneys are "sitting on". Both conversations were facilitated by the EVIDENCE posted on the Center for Judicial Accountability's website, [www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org), accessible from the top panel "Latest News", via the link "[President Biden's New U.S. Attorneys for NY](#)" -- Will they be non-partisan enforcers of the Rule of Law and Equal Justice when doing so will require them to prosecute NY's mostly Democratic top state officers -- & expose that their U.S. Attorney predecessors politicized and corrupted their own offices?"

Since then, I have left two further voice messages on the phone line of the Committee's Democratic majority office (202-224-7703) on Friday, August 27<sup>th</sup> (at 1:16 pm) and on Monday, August 30<sup>th</sup> (at 2:50 pm), to which I have received NO call-back – a repetition of the situation recited by my below August 18<sup>th</sup> e-mail pertaining to the phone messages I had left on the Committee's Democratic majority line on Friday, August 13<sup>th</sup> (1:29 pm) and on Monday, August 16<sup>th</sup> (at 9:35 am).

Will the Committee's Democratic majority office be providing me with the documents which my August 18<sup>th</sup> e-mail requests and to which I referred in my August 27<sup>th</sup> and August 30<sup>th</sup> voice message, *to wit*:

**“all publicly-available documents[the Senate Judiciary Committee] has received from The White House pertaining to these four U.S. Attorney nominees for New York, most importantly the written questionnaires they were required to complete, with their listed references and supporting letters of recommendation, such being germane to conflicts of interest they will have *vis-a-vis* the December 19, 2020 public corruption complaint, whose investigation and prosecution will be their duty to ensure, if confirmed.**

...

**all publicly-available documents as to:**

- (1) the Committee's process for vetting and confirming U.S. Attorney nominees, including the blank written questionnaire forms it requires them to complete, if it so-requires;**
- (2) the names of the Committee staffers responsible for reviewing information furnished by members of the public pertaining to U.S. Attorney nominees – and their e-mail addresses and phone numbers;**
- (3) the e-mail addresses of the Committee's 22 Senate members – and the names and e-mail addresses of their counsels assisting them on U.S. Attorney nominations.” (bold in the original).**

By this e-mail to Ms. Kenny, I ask that she forward it to Ranking Member Grassley for distribution to, and discussion with, the Committee's Republican minority senators – and that he forward the e-mail to Chair Durbin and the Committee's Democratic majority senators for response. Meantime, I am also sending this e-mail to all the recipients of my August 18<sup>th</sup> e-mail so that, as I previously asked, they can “jointly take responsibility” for forwarding it to “all 22 Committee Senate members – and to their counsels and other staff charged with vetting U.S. Attorney nominations”.

As will be apparent to all – and as I discussed with Ms. Kenny – the December 19, 2020 “swamp-draining” complaint that NY's acting U.S. Attorneys have been “sitting on” is not only relevant to the Committee's vetting of President Biden's 4 U.S. Attorney nominees for NY, but to its oversight hearing of the FBI's “dereliction of duty in the Larry Nassar case, which enabled the continued abuse of dozens of victims”, announced by a [July 15<sup>th</sup> press release](#) and now scheduled for September 15<sup>th</sup>.

The Nassar case is NO anomaly – and the FBI's “dereliction of duty” is NOT confined to matters pertaining to sexual abuse. Indeed, the PROOF is the December 19, 2020 complaint, whose Exhibit A is the FULLY-DOCUMENTED [October 16, 2020 public corruption complaint I filed with the FBI](#), to which

there was ZERO response from the FBI notwithstanding, as stated by the December 19, 2020 complaint, the EVIDENCE it provided is:

“open-and-shut and *prima facie* for indicting and convicting the highest constitutional officers of New York’s three government branches, starting with the Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney General, Comptroller, Temporary Senate President, Assembly Speaker, and all seven New York Court of Appeals judges – plus all 62 county district attorneys – for public corruption, including fraud and larceny with respect to the state budget and their own salaries”.

For this flagrant and indefensible “dereliction of duty” by the FBI, subjecting the 19-1/2 million People of the State of New York to continuing victimization and ongoing theft of their taxpayer monies, there must also be accountability – starting with, as in the Nassar case, your questions to the FBI as to its handling of the complaint and referral to the Justice Department’s Inspector General for investigation and report – and I so-request.

So that, in advance of the September 15<sup>th</sup> oversight hearing, DOJ Inspector General Horowitz may be apprised of how the FBI operates in NY, when furnished with rock-solid PROOF of high-level public corruption, infesting all three of the state’s government branches, aided and abetted by NY’s 4 acting U.S. Attorneys, I will furnish him this e-mail in substantiation of a complaint for his investigation and report, further requesting that he assist the Committee by his advisory opinion as to the last sentence of the December 19, 2020 complaint, reading:

“No nominee [for U.S. Attorney] may be deemed fit to hold such pre-eminent position[] of public trust and law enforcement who does not assure prosecutions based on the October 16, 2020 complaint – and claw-backs of the half-billion dollars in fraudulent salary raises already paid out.”

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director  
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
[www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org)  
914-421-1200  
[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)

---

**From:** Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, August 18, 2021 10:13 AM  
**To:** 'eric\_teetsel@hawley.senate.gov' <[eric\\_teetsel@hawley.senate.gov](mailto:eric_teetsel@hawley.senate.gov)>;  
'tyler\_grasmeyer@sasse.senate.gov' <[tyler\\_grasmeyer@sasse.senate.gov](mailto:tyler_grasmeyer@sasse.senate.gov)>;  
'scheduling@whitehouse.senate.gov' <[scheduling@whitehouse.senate.gov](mailto:scheduling@whitehouse.senate.gov)>;  
'scheduling@hirono.senate.gov' <[scheduling@hirono.senate.gov](mailto:scheduling@hirono.senate.gov)>; 'scheduler\_cruz@cruz.senate.gov'  
<[scheduler\\_cruz@cruz.senate.gov](mailto:scheduler_cruz@cruz.senate.gov)>; 'cruz\_press@cruz.senate.gov' <[cruz\\_press@cruz.senate.gov](mailto:cruz_press@cruz.senate.gov)>;  
'brian\_winseck@coons.senate.gov' <[brian\\_winseck@coons.senate.gov](mailto:brian_winseck@coons.senate.gov)>;  
'cassie\_fields@coons.senate.gov' <[cassie\\_fields@coons.senate.gov](mailto:cassie_fields@coons.senate.gov)>;

'saurabh\_sanghvi@coons.senate.gov' <[saurabh\\_sanghvi@coons.senate.gov](mailto:saurabh_sanghvi@coons.senate.gov)>;  
'aaron\_stanislawski@coons.senate.gov' <[aaron\\_stanislawski@coons.senate.gov](mailto:aaron_stanislawski@coons.senate.gov)>

**Subject: President Biden's 4 US Attorney Nominees for NY -- & request for documents, particularly relating to their conflicts of interest vis-a-vis the Dec. 19, 2020 "swamp-draining" public corruption complaint that NY's acting US Attorneys are "sitting on"**

**TO: U.S. SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE**

This follows the voice messages I left on the Committee's phone line (202-224-7703) at 1:29 pm on Friday, August 13<sup>th</sup> and at 9:35 am on Monday, August 16<sup>th</sup>, in the absence of pick-up by a live person. I have received no return call.

I did have a half-hour phone conversation on Monday with Chesney Mallory, a legislative aide with the Committee's minority Republican office, when she called me at about 12:45 pm in response to the voice message I had left two hours earlier, at 10:35 am, at the Senate office number of Ranking Member Grassley (202-224-3744). She was unaware of my voice message, left an hour before that, at 9:23 am, at the Committee's minority office number (202-224-5225), which I had called upon finding that number in the [FAQ section](#) of the Committee's website under the heading "How can the Committee be contacted?" Ms. Mallory explained that the Democratic majority controls the Committee's website and had not replaced that number with its own – and I explained to her that it was not at all clear to me, upon leaving my message, that, in fact, the recorded pick-up provided a voice message option.

As discussed with Ms. Mallory, the reason for my voice messages was President Biden's four nominees of U.S. Attorneys for New York, announced by the White House on [July 26<sup>th</sup>](#) and [August 10<sup>th</sup>](#) and now before the Committee for confirmation: Trini Ross ([WDNY – PN#931](#)); Breon Peace ([EDNY – PN #1036](#)); Damian Williams ([SDNY – PN #1038](#)); and Carla Freedman ([NDNY – PN #1042](#)).

**Please have a Committee counsel call me, as soon as possible**, as I believe The White House vetting of these nominees to have been deficient, based on my two written communications to The White House, sent *via* its website messaging system, [on March 23<sup>rd</sup>](#) and [April 27<sup>th</sup>](#), alerting it to the necessity of careful vetting based on a [FULLY-DOCUMENTED December 19, 2020 public corruption complaint](#) that New York's four acting U.S. Attorneys have been "sitting on" – to which I received no response from The White House, other than automated acknowledgments of receipt. I believe this deficient vetting is to enable the four U.S. Attorney nominees, if confirmed, to disregard their conflicts of interest and politicize their offices by "protecting" from prosecution the New York state public officers who are the subject of the "swamp-draining" December 19, 2020 corruption complaint – the highest and majority of whom are Democrats – which is what New York's acting U.S. Attorneys have been doing. This is set forth by my above-attached August 4<sup>th</sup> letter to Senator Hawley, as well as the fact that former President Trump is one of the victims of New York's "swamp" of corruption and politicization, involving the FBI and New York's four acting U.S. Attorneys. Below are my e-mails transmitting the August 4<sup>th</sup> letter to Senator Hawley's legislative director, Eric Teetsel, three times – on August 4<sup>th</sup>, August 11<sup>th</sup>, and August 12<sup>th</sup> – without response.

**I additionally request that the Committee provide me with all publicly-available documents it has received from The White House pertaining to these four U.S. Attorney nominees for New York, most importantly the written questionnaires they were required to complete, with their listed references and supporting letters of recommendation, such being germane to conflicts of interest they will have**

*vis-a-vis* the December 19, 2020 public corruption complaint, whose investigation and prosecution will be their duty to ensure, if confirmed.

I also request all publicly-available documents as to:

- (1) the Committee's process for vetting and confirming U.S. Attorney nominees, including the blank written questionnaire forms it requires them to complete, if it so-requires;
- (2) the names of the Committee staffers responsible for reviewing information furnished by members of the public pertaining to U.S. Attorney nominees – and their e-mail addresses and phone numbers;
- (3) the e-mail addresses of the Committee's 22 Senate members – and the names and e-mail addresses of their counsels assisting them on U.S. Attorney nominations.

The above was already drafted by the time Ms. Mallory called me at 12:45 pm on Monday – and I read it to her. It has since been slightly expanded and modified to reflect the supervening event of her call and to remove from my above three enumerated requests invocation of the Freedom of Information Act [FOIA], which Ms. Mallory told me does not apply to Congress. In any event, I do not believe that a single senator can justify a refusal to provide most, if not all, of the above-requested documents/information – or, indeed, to justify the failure of the Committee's website to inform the public that it has a participatory role in the Committee's work, particularly the vetting of nominees, and instructions as to how the public can best provide pertinent information to the Committee.

On that subject, I spent considerable time with Ms. Mallory reviewing that the [Senate Judiciary Committee website](#): (1) furnishes no information about the Committee's minority office, including its phone number; (2) furnishes no e-mail address for itself; (3) has NO website messaging feature, such as appears on the Senate websites of its 22 Senate members, in lieu of e-mail addresses – with none of these 22 website messaging features having an option for attachments so that members of the public might transmit documentary evidence in substantiation of their messages. I pointed out to Ms. Mallory that Senator Grassley is obviously aware of – and seeks to justify – this by the note, [at the bottom of his messaging feature page](#), *"For security reasons, no attachments are able to be included with your message. Please fax any attachments to 202-224-6020."* The comparable messaging webpages of the Committee's 21 other members, albeit without that note, are here: [Senator Durbin](#); [Senator Leahy](#); [Senator Whitehouse](#); [Senator Coons](#); [Senator Hirono](#); [Senator Padilla](#); [Senator Feinstein](#); [Senator Klobuchar](#); [Senator Blumenthal](#); [Senator Booker](#); [Senator Ossoff](#); [Senator Graham](#); [Senator Lee](#); [Senator Sasse](#); [Senator Cotton](#); [Senator Tillis](#); [Senator Cornyn](#); [Senator Cruz](#); [Senator Hawley](#); [Senator Kennedy](#); [Senator Blackburn](#).

Although my phone conversation with Ms. Mallory made obvious that I am a responsible, knowledgeable citizen with significant information to provide, both as to White House vetting and the four U.S. Attorney nominees for New York – and knowledgeable of the Committee's recognition, as by its [June 18, 2018 oversight hearing](#), of the dangers of a politicized FBI and Justice Department – she declined to furnish me with any e-mail address that I could use to send the drafted e-mail I had read her. She stated she would have to get authorization from a superior – putting me on hold for that purpose. With none apparently available, she took my contact information – phone and e-mail both – to pass on to Committee minority



nominations counsel, with a summary of our nearly half-hour conversation. That was at approximately 1:15 pm on Monday and I have received no return call or e-mail since.

For purposes of completeness, I here further memorialize that on Friday, August 13<sup>th</sup>, in the absence of any response from Senator Hawley's office to my below August 4<sup>th</sup>, August 11<sup>th</sup>, and August 12<sup>th</sup> e-mails, all with the above-attached August 4<sup>th</sup> letter – and prior to leaving my 1:29 pm voice message at the Committee's number – I phoned the Washington offices of Senator Cotton at 1:02 pm (202-224-2353) and Senator Kennedy at 1:08 p.m. (202-224-4623), leaving reasonably detailed voice messages – to which I have received no return calls. I also phoned the Washington office of Senator Blackburn at 2:20 pm (202-224-3344), furnishing her staff assistant Jen with a more detailed message, including objecting to what Jen described to be a policy of not giving out e-mails. I have received no return call from these three Senate offices either – and no e-mails, despite the fact that I believe I also furnished my e-mail address in all three messages.

Also on Friday, August 13<sup>th</sup> – and after my calls to the offices of Senators Cotton, Kennedy, and Blackburn and the call I then made to the Committee's number – I discovered the Committee's "[Subcommittees](#)" webpage, posting phone numbers for six of its eight subcommittees, both their majority and minority offices. I called the minority office number for the "Subcommittee on Federal Courts, Oversight, Agency Action, and Federal Rights" (202-224-4224), which was picked up by a staffer for Senator Sasse, who is apparently the ranking member of that subcommittee. I briefly described the reason for my call and obtained the name of Senator Sasse's deputy chief of staff, who is serving as his interim staffer for the Committee, Tyler Grasmeyer – and his e-mail: [tyler\\_grasmeyer@sasse.senate.gov](mailto:tyler_grasmeyer@sasse.senate.gov).

Yesterday, Tuesday, August 17<sup>th</sup>, realizing that the "Subcommittee on Criminal Justice and Counterterrorism" would more likely be the one whose jurisdiction includes nominations of the 93 U.S. Attorneys, I called the phone number indicated for its minority office (202-224-6154). Low and behold, the recording I got was for Senator Hawley, apparently that subcommittee's ranking member – and left a voice message (at 12 noon). I then called the phone number indicated for the subcommittee's majority office (202-224-2921) – and got a recording for Senator Whitehouse, apparently its chair, and left a voice message (at 12:05 pm). I then finished up by calling the phone number for the majority office of the "Subcommittee on Federal Courts, Oversight, Agency Action, and Federal Rights" (202-224-2823) – and got a recording for Senator Blumenthal, apparently its chair – and left a voice message (at 12:26 pm).

It is now Wednesday morning, August 18<sup>th</sup>, and in the absence of any call backs or e-mails, I am sending this e-mail to the e-mail addresses I have for Senator Hawley's legislative director, Eric Teetsel, and for Senator Sasse's deputy chief of staff/interim staffer for Judiciary, Tyler Grasmeyer, plus to Senator Whitehouse's posted e-mail address, [scheduling@whitehouse.senate.gov](mailto:scheduling@whitehouse.senate.gov), to Senator Hirono's posted e-mail address, [scheduling@hirono.senate.gov](mailto:scheduling@hirono.senate.gov), to Senator Cruz's two posted e-mail addresses, [scheduler\\_cruz@cruz.senate.gov](mailto:scheduler_cruz@cruz.senate.gov) and [cruz\\_press@cruz.senate.gov](mailto:cruz_press@cruz.senate.gov), and to what I believe may be the e-mail addresses of Senator Coon's legislative director, Brian Winseck, and his three counsels identified as associated with "Judiciary", Cassie Fields, Saurabh Sanghvi, and Aaron Stanislawski, whose names and titles appear on an exemplary staff directory of his Senate website: <https://www.coons.senate.gov/contact/staff-directory>. I herewith request that these recipients jointly

take responsibility for ensuring that the e-mail is forwarded to all 22 Committee Senate members – and to their counsels and other staff charged with vetting U.S. Attorney nominations.

This e-mail will be posted on CJA’s website, [www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org), on a webpage entitled “President Biden's New U.S. Attorneys for NY -- Will they be non-partisan enforcers of the Rule of Law and Equal Justice when doing so will require them to prosecute NY’s mostly Democratic top state officers – & expose that their U.S. Attorney predecessors politicized and corrupted their own offices?” That is where my above-attached August 4<sup>th</sup> letter to Senator Hawley and three below e-mails are also posted – and I had wanted to show the webpage to Ms. Mallory, but she declined, stating that when Republican minority counsel called me back or e-mailed, I could furnish it. It is accessible *via* the top panel “Latest News”. The direct link is here: <http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/searching-federal/2021-new-us-attorneys-for-ny.htm>.

Finally, if Senator Hawley has not forwarded my above-attached August 4<sup>th</sup> letter to former President Trump as I had requested him to do – encompassing, as it does, my [June 4<sup>th</sup> webpage message to him](#) – I request that the Republican senators of the Committee’s minority do so immediately – and with this e-mail.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director  
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
[www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org)  
914-421-1200  
[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)

---

**From:** Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 12, 2021 10:41 AM  
**To:** 'eric\_teetsel@hawley.senate.gov' <[eric\\_teetsel@hawley.senate.gov](mailto:eric_teetsel@hawley.senate.gov)>

**Subject: URGENT -- STATUS? -- Aug 4, 2021 letter -- Senator Hawley's Leadership Needed: Testing the Fitness of President Biden’s Nomination of Trini Ross to be U.S. Attorney for the Western District of New York**

**TO: Eric Teetsel/Legislative Director to U.S. Senator Josh Hawley**

This follows the voice mail message I left for you (202-224-6154), shortly after 9:00 am today, from which the recording was cut off.

Unbeknownst to me, when I left my yesterday’s voice mail message, shortly after 10:00 am, thereupon sending you the below e-mail – to which I have received no response -- was that President Biden had nominated the other three U.S. Attorneys for New York the day before. Here’s the August 10, 2021 White House press statement: "[President Biden Announces Eight New Nominees to Serve as U.S. Attorneys](#)".

It is imperative that New York's four U.S. Attorneys impartially discharge their duties – and the test of whether they will do so is my FULLY-DOCUMENTED December 19, 2020 public corruption complaint, which the four acting U.S. Attorneys are each “sitting on” . All four U.S. Attorney nominees must be questioned about how they will be handling the complaint and their conflicts of interest – and whether the White House questioned them on the subject, as part of its vetting of them. This is especially so with Trini Ross, for all the reasons set forth by my above-attached August 4, 2021 letter – and even more so now that New York Lieutenant Governor Kathy Hochul will be taking over as governor.

What is the status of Senator Hawley's review of the letter? Will he be taking the lead – or must I reach out to other Senate Judiciary Committee members and the press? And has he forwarded it to former President Trump, as requested?

Please call me.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director  
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
[www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org)  
914-421-1200  
-----

---

**From:** Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)>

**Sent:** Wednesday, August 11, 2021 10:16 AM

**To:** 'eric\_teetsel@hawley.senate.gov' <[eric\\_teetsel@hawley.senate.gov](mailto:eric_teetsel@hawley.senate.gov)>

**Subject:** STATUS? -- Aug 4, 2021 letter -- Senator Hawley's Leadership Needed: Testing the Fitness of President Biden's Nomination of Trini Ross to be U.S. Attorney for the Western District of New York

**TO: Eric Teetsel/Legislative Director to U.S. Senator Josh Hawley**

Following the voice mail message I left moment ago, upon calling the Senator's D.C. office (202-224-6154), with no pick-up by a live person, please advise as to how Senator Hawley wishes me to proceed. Will he be taking the lead on this – or must I reach out to other Senate Judiciary Committee members? Also, has Senator Hawley forwarded my letter to former President Trump, as requested.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director  
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
[www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org)  
914-421-1200  
[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)  
-----

---

**From:** Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)>

**Sent:** Wednesday, August 4, 2021 9:02 AM

**To:** 'eric\_teetsel@hawley.senate.gov' <[eric\\_teetsel@hawley.senate.gov](mailto:eric_teetsel@hawley.senate.gov)>

**Subject: Senator Hawley's Leadership Needed: Testing the Fitness of President Biden's Nomination of Trini Ross to be U.S. Attorney for the Western District of New York**

**TO: Eric Teetsel/Legislative Director to U.S. Senator Josh Hawley**

Following up my August 2nd phone conversations with your interns Roy and Mike, attached for your review – and transmittal to Senator Hawley – is my self-explanatory letter to him “Testing the Fitness of President Biden's Nomination of Trini Ross to be U.S. Attorney for the Western District of New York”. The webpage on which it is posted is here: <http://www.judgewatch.org/web-pages/searching-federal/2021-new-us-attorneys-for-ny.htm>.

I am available to answer questions and would welcome your call.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director  
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
[www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org)  
914-421-1200  
[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)