

**From:** Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <elena@judgewidth.org>  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 10, 2022 11:09 AM

**To:** 'graciela.mochkofsky@journalism.cuny.edu'

**Cc:** 'rebecca.rand77@journalism.cuny.edu';  
'Nicolas.magrino35@journalism.cuny.edu';  
'errol.louis@journalism.cuny.edu'; 'errol.louis@charter.com';  
'barbara.gray@journalism.cuny.edu'; 'travis.fox@journalism.cuny.edu';  
'greg.david@journalism.cuny.edu'; 'ruth.hochberger@journalism.cuny.edu';  
'yoruba.richen@journalism.cuny.edu'; 'daryl.khan@journalism.cuny.edu';  
'elba.rodriquez37@journalism.cuny.edu';  
'zoe.grueskin66@journalism.cuny.edu'; 'david.bralow@journalism.cuny.edu';  
'david.bralow@theintercept.com'; 'dkaplan007@gmail.com';  
'andrew.mendelsohn@journalism.cuny.edu';  
'amy.dunkin@journalism.cuny.edu'; 'judith.watson@journalism.cuny.edu'

**Subject:** **AGAIN -- Ethics Violations by CUNY/Craig Newmark Graduate School of Journalism Students Rebecca Rand & Nicolas Magrino -- & Adjunct Professor Errol Louis -- PLUS FURTHER QUESTIONS**

**Attachments:** [9-7-22-committee-report.pdf](#); [9-7-22-testimony.pdf](#);  
[9-7-22-testimony-oral-williams.pdf](#); [9-7-22-testimony-oral-crowell.pdf](#)

**TO: CUNY Craig Newmark Graduate School of Journalism Dean Graciela Mochkofsky**

A week has elapsed since I sent you my below November 3<sup>rd</sup> e-mail entitled: "Ethics Violations by CUNY/Craig Newmark Graduate School of Journalism Students Rebecca Rand & Nicolas Magrino – & Adjunct Professor Errol Louis". I have received no acknowledgment or response.

Have you referred it to the Faculty-Student Disciplinary Committee, which, pursuant to [Article IV, §C\(4\) of the Governance Plan](#), is "charged with considering violations of the Newmark J-School's Code of Ethics"? As I have not been contacted by it, I am cc'ing its [chair and members](#) so that they can apprise me of how my fully-documented November 3<sup>rd</sup> ethics complaint, with its above four attachments, will be handled.

I am also cc'ing the two J-School faculty members whose names come up on a keyword search of "ethics" on the J-School's website, as I believe review of ethics complaints should include ethics teachers. They are [Adjunct Professor David Bralow](#) and [Adjunct Professor David Kaplan](#), each teaching the required first semester course "[Legal and Ethical Issues](#)" (Jour71103). Quite possibly first semester students Rand and Magrino are their students.

Additionally, I am cc'ing [Associate Dean Andrew Mendelson](#) and [Interim Assistant Dean for Administration Amy Dunkin](#), the latter having authored the J-School's December 7, 2017 announcement "[CUNY J-School to Help Oversee an Award for Courageous and Ethical Journalism](#)" – both serving, with you, on the [Governance Council](#), chaired by [Associate Professor Judith Watson](#), also cc'd.

**What kind of "courageous and ethical journalism" does New York's tax-payer supported J-School model and teach in its own New York City backyard when it steers its students away from investigating and reporting on a MAJOR corruption story pertaining to the New York City Council and New York City**

**governance, involving, directly, the corruption of New York State governance – and this year’s elections for governor, attorney general, comptroller and all 213 state legislative seats, 90-plus of which are for districts in New York City – whose consequence is that corrupt incumbents have now been re-elected or elected to other and higher offices.**

Please also advise as to who are the J-School professors engaged in scholarship of press performance, as the conduct of students Rand and Magrino replicates that of New York’s press, of which the J-School’s faculty is part and has financial and other ties. Do ethics instructors Bralow and Kaplan consider themselves scholars of press performance – and are they so-considered by the J-School? If not, who are the J-School’s scholars who engage in unbiased, methodologically-sound research and analysis of press performance?

Unfortunately, the J-School scrapped its [News Integrity Initiative, announced on April 3, 2017 as a \\$14 million philanthropically-funded “joint effort to advance news literacy and increase trust in journalism”](#), quietly replacing it at some point subsequent to the October 2019 J-School [Self-Study Report for Accreditation in Journalism and Mass Communications \(see pp. 27, 29, 36, 126\)](#). It is now euphemistically “[refocus\[ed\]](#)” to “improving diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) practices in the news business”. Is this because any true News Integrity Initiative, guided by evidence, would have to confront the rightful causes of public distrust in journalism, such as those to which I can attest from direct, first-hand experience and substantiate by [an archive of primary-source, documentary evidence spanning 30-plus years, to wit, the press’ total non-responsiveness to serious, substantial, and repeated complaints about its agenda-driven, knowingly false and misleading reporting and editorializing, willfully covering up governmental corruption and rigging elections, all the while trumpeting its integrity.](#)

Also, please advise why, with lawyers such as Adjunct Professors Bralow, Kaplan, and Louis on the J-School faculty, the J-School has no concentration in legal journalism and reporting on the courts. In any event, who are the professors teaching these vital subject areas?

I note that on March 24, 2022 the J-School announced a [Criminal Justice Reporting Initiative](#), whose kick-off was “woke” agenda-driven training session about police killing, police corruption, and police cover-up. Has the referred-to 14-week “Criminal Justice Reporting Lab”, announced for the fall semester – presumably still ongoing – been training students to follow the “paper trail” of corruption by the higher, more powerful participants of the Criminal Justice System: the judges, district attorneys, and other public prosecutors – and to expose the corruption of such complaint mechanisms to hold them accountable as the New York State Commission on Judicial Conduct and New York’s court-controlled attorney grievance committees. And what about a corresponding [Civil Justice Reporting Initiative](#)? Will the J-School be training students to investigate and report on the comparable fashion in which the Civil Justice System operates – with corrupt judges and lawyers, such as now re-elected New York State Attorney General Letitia James, whose litigation fraud in defense of corrupt public officers, herself among them, and pertaining to the corruption of constitutional state governance involving pay raises and the state budget, is fully-documented in complaints to the First Department Attorney Grievance Committee, featured and linked by my above-attached September 7<sup>th</sup> testimony against City Council confirmation of Mayor Eric Adams’ appointment of the now chair of the New York City Conflicts of Interest Board, Milton Williams, Esq., whose [two-minute oral synopsis](#) students Rand and Magrino heard me present at the City Hall hearing – and whose [expanded written version](#) I gave them, *in hand*. Presumably, they produced some “journalism” for the J-School professor for whom they were at the City Hall hearing in fulfilment of a class assignment. Was that Adjunct Professor Louis, to whom I sent the testimony by my below October 23<sup>rd</sup> e-mail, and who, doubtless and in addition to his other conflicts of interests, has years of personal and professional relationships with Mr. Williams, Ms. James, Mayor Adams, and with New York City Public Advocate Jumaane Williams – all, like himself, black – impacting his “courageous and ethical journalism”.

Please let me hear from you, as soon as possible. Are you available for a conversation – or, in the words of the defunct News Integrity Initiative, “respectful civic discourse”, which the J-School, based on [News Integrity Initiative research](#), also refers to as “engagement journalism”, for which, [since 2021, it offers an M.A. degree](#).

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director  
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
[www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org)  
914-421-1200  
[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)

---

**From:** Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 3, 2022 11:01 AM  
**To:** 'graciela.mochkofsky@journalism.cuny.edu' <[graciela.mochkofsky@journalism.cuny.edu](mailto:graciela.mochkofsky@journalism.cuny.edu)>  
**Cc:** 'rebecca.rand77@journalism.cuny.edu' <[rebecca.rand77@journalism.cuny.edu](mailto:rebecca.rand77@journalism.cuny.edu)>;  
'Nicolas.magrino35@journalism.cuny.edu' <[Nicolas.magrino35@journalism.cuny.edu](mailto:Nicolas.magrino35@journalism.cuny.edu)>;  
'errol.louis@journalism.cuny.edu' <[errol.louis@journalism.cuny.edu](mailto:errol.louis@journalism.cuny.edu)>; 'errol.louis@charter.com' <[errol.louis@charter.com](mailto:errol.louis@charter.com)>

**Subject: Ethics Violations by CUNY/Craig Newmark Graduate School of Journalism Students Rebecca Rand & Nicolas Magrino -- & Adjunct Professor Errol Louis**

**[TO: CUNY Craig Newmark Graduate School of Journalism Dean Graciela Mochkofsky](#)**

I hereby seek enforcement of the [Code of Ethics](#), which students of the CUNY Craig Newmark Graduate School of Journalism are required to “read, sign, and heed” – and whose violations subject them to “appropriate sanctions, up to and including expulsion, in accordance with CUNY Bylaws and the CUNY Policy on Academic Integrity and professional journalism standards.” Presumably, professors are also required to adhere to, if not sign, a comparable Ethics Code – and face punishment including discharge, for cause.

At issue is misconduct, born of conflicts of interest, by students Rebecca Rand and Nicolas Magrino – and by their journalism professor for whom, in fulfillment of an academic requirement/class assignment, they were at a September 7<sup>th</sup> confirmation hearing at City Hall. Such professor may have been Adjunct Professor Errol Louis, but, irrespective, his misconduct born of conflicts of interest is additionally at issue based on my below October 31<sup>st</sup> and October 23<sup>rd</sup> e-mails with the above four attachments, sent to him and to students Rand and Magrino, without response from any of them.

I am available to be interviewed, including under oath. Please advise as to your complaint “process”.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director  
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc.  
[www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org)

914-421-1200  
[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)

---

---

**From:** Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 31, 2022 3:37 PM  
**To:** 'rebecca.rand77@journalism.cuny.edu' <[rebecca.rand77@journalism.cuny.edu](mailto:rebecca.rand77@journalism.cuny.edu)>;  
'Nicolas.magrino35@journalism.cuny.edu' <[Nicolas.magrino35@journalism.cuny.edu](mailto:Nicolas.magrino35@journalism.cuny.edu)>;  
'errol.louis@journalism.cuny.edu' <[errol.louis@journalism.cuny.edu](mailto:errol.louis@journalism.cuny.edu)>; 'errol.louis@charter.com'  
<[errol.louis@charter.com](mailto:errol.louis@charter.com)>

**Subject: Confirming Status -- CJA's Oct. 23, 2022 e-mail to CUNY/Craig Newmark Graduate School of Journalism Students Rebecca Rand & Nicolas Magrino -- & Adjunct Professor Errol Louis**

**TO: CUNY/Craig Newmark Graduate School of Journalism Students Rebecca Rand & Nicolas Magrino  
CUNY/Craig Newmark Graduate School of Journalism Adjunct Professor Errol Louis, ESQ.**

I have received no responses from you to my below October 23<sup>rd</sup> e-mail entitled – and correcting the misspelling of Mr. Louis' first name – “*Was Errol Louis your CUNY Craig Newmark Graduate School of Journalism professor for whom, in fulfillment of an academic requirement/class assignment, you were at the Sept 7 confirmation hearing at City Hall?*”

Unless I hear from you otherwise, I will assume this is because you did not respond.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director  
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
[www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org)  
914-421-1200  
[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)

---

---

**From:** Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)>  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 23, 2022 9:07 AM  
**To:** 'rebecca.rand77@journalism.cuny.edu' <[rebecca.rand77@journalism.cuny.edu](mailto:rebecca.rand77@journalism.cuny.edu)>;  
'Nicolas.magrino35@journalism.cuny.edu' <[Nicolas.magrino35@journalism.cuny.edu](mailto:Nicolas.magrino35@journalism.cuny.edu)>  
**Cc:** 'errol.louis@journalism.cuny.edu' <[errol.louis@journalism.cuny.edu](mailto:errol.louis@journalism.cuny.edu)>; 'errol.louis@charter.com'  
<[errol.louis@charter.com](mailto:errol.louis@charter.com)>

**Subject: Was Errol Louis your CUNY Craig Newmark Graduate School of Journalism professor for whom, in fulfillment of an academic requirement/class assignment, you were at the Sept 7 confirmation hearing at City Hall?**

Dear Rebecca & Nicolas,

How surprising to have received no responses from you to my two [September 8<sup>th</sup> e-mails](#) & [here](#), my [September 12<sup>th</sup> e-mail](#), and my below [September 13<sup>th</sup> e-mail](#), with the above-attached, furnishing you with a MAJOR corruption story pertaining to the New York City Council and New York City governance,

involving, directly, the corruption of New York State governance – and this year’s elections for governor, attorney general, and comptroller and all 213 state legislative seats, 90-plus of which are for districts in New York City, which I discussed with you, face-to-face, on September 7<sup>th</sup>, at City Hall, before and after my two-minute oral testimony in opposition to confirmation of Milton Williams, Jr., as chair of the New York City Conflicts of Interest Board, and the preclusion of my two-minute oral testimony in opposition to Anthony Crowell’s confirmation to the New York City Planning Commission.

Please explain why you did not respond, including as minimally as confirming that you “furnished my September 7<sup>th</sup> testimony and my proposal for your follow-up investigative reporting to your journalism professors” and “who they are, so that I might contact them directly”.

AS TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE, I am cc’ing [Errol Louis, whose faculty bio at CUNY’s Craig Newmark Graduate School of Journalism identifies that he is “host of NY1’s ‘Inside City Hall,’ the preeminent political news show in New York City”](#), so that he can identify whether you are his journalism students – and, if not, whether he was alerted to the corruption story that you were not pursuing by the professor for whom, in fulfillment of an academic requirement/assignment, you were at the City Hall hearing, presumably thereafter producing some kind of journalism about what you had seen and heard on September 7<sup>th</sup> – and which, in the complete absence of any reporting by New York City Hall reporters, who presumably had the live-stream and subsequent video, resulted in the City Council’s September 14<sup>th</sup> confirmation of the nominees. Here is [CJA’s webpage posting the video and transcript links and the evidentiary trail of public corruption at the City Council preceding it](#) which your journalism professor should have expected you to pursue, immediately, or, just as immediately, passed on to other journalism students and relevant faculty for investigative reporting so that culpable and collusive City Council members were held to account, including in [next year’s election to the City Council](#) and prevented from obtaining other offices of public trust.

I ask for your responses – and for Mr. Louis’ – by no later than tomorrow morning, as thereafter I will be communicating with both new [Dean Graciela Mochkofsky](#) and [benefactor Craig Newmark](#) about how [New York’s taxpayer-funded CUNY](#) graduate journalism school has been operating – steering students **away** from non-partisan journalism that exposes public corruption by public officers and, in so-doing, rigging both the re-election of corrupt incumbents and the election of collusive successors and challengers. Such is clearly the product of undisclosed conflicts of interest, including between the New York press which operates in precisely this fashion and the J-school’s faculty and [its former dean, the now Dean Emerita Sarah Bartlett](#), who are, or have been, part of the press. Apparently, this behavior, together with a disregard of the most basic decencies, is being inculcated in journalism students, such as yourselves

CJA’s webpage posting my prior attempts to secure non-partisan, electorally-relevant, corruption-exposing journalism by CUNY’s Craig Newmark Graduate School of Journalism and its [NYCity News Service](#), which I briefly described to you, is [here](#).

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director  
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
[www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org)  
914-421-1200  
[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)

---

---

**From:** Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 13, 2022 4:19 PM  
**To:** 'rebecca.rand77@journalism.cuny.edu' <[rebecca.rand77@journalism.cuny.edu](mailto:rebecca.rand77@journalism.cuny.edu)>;  
'Nicolas.magrino35@journalism.cuny.edu' <[Nicolas.magrino35@journalism.cuny.edu](mailto:Nicolas.magrino35@journalism.cuny.edu)>

**Subject: FYI -- FOIL -- Records, Rules & Procedures pertaining to the Sept. 7, 2022 Rules Committee hearing on mayoral appointees &, in particular, Milton Williams, Jr., Esq. & Anthony Crowell, Esq.**

Dear Rebecca & Nicolas,

After sending you [my yesterday's e-mail](#), I waited more than a day, hoping to hear back from you, before sending my below FOIL request to the City Council, with the above attached.

What's happening on your end with respect to your reporting on the City Council's September 7, 2022 hearing on five mayoral appointees? Please confirm that you have furnished my September 7<sup>th</sup> testimony and my proposal for your follow-up investigative reporting to your journalism professors and identify who they are, so that I might contact them directly.

Thank you.

Elena  
914-421-1200  
-----

---

**From:** Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA) <[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 13, 2022 2:38 PM  
**To:** 'dbarbato@council.nyc.gov' <[dbarbato@council.nyc.gov](mailto:dbarbato@council.nyc.gov)>  
**Cc:** 'jcampagna@council.nyc.gov' <[jcampagna@council.nyc.gov](mailto:jcampagna@council.nyc.gov)>

**Subject: FOIL -- Records, Rules & Procedures pertaining to the Sept. 7, 2022 Rules Committee hearing on mayoral appointees &, in particular, Milton Williams, Jr., Esq. & Anthony Crowell, Esq.**

**TO: New York City Council FOIL Officer Danielle Barbato**

Pursuant to FOIL, this is to request records, including of governing rules and procedures, pertaining to the [September 7, 2022 hearing of the Committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections for its "advice and consent" on five of Mayor Adams' appointees](#) – and, specifically, records:

- (1) reflecting why the "[Committee Report of the Committee on Rules, Privileges and Elections](#)", made publicly available at the September 7, 2022 hearing, prominently identifies "Office of the General Counsel, Jason A. Otano, General Counsel" – implying that the Office of General Counsel has a role – and whether its role includes ensuring compliance by the City Council with express standards in the New York City Charter for appointees, identified in the "Committee Report";
- (2) reflecting whether the "Committee staff" identified at the top of the "Committee Report" as "Jeffrey Campagna, *Committee Counsel*; Pearl Moore, *Ethics Counsel*; Francesca Della Vecchia, *Director of Investigations*; Alycia

Vasell, *Compliance Investigator*; Ramses Boutin, *Legislative Investigator*” are actually staff of the Office of the General Counsel;

- (3) reflecting why members of the public were not called up to testify immediately after each appointee – with the appointee expected to respond thereto;
- (4) reflecting why Rules Committee Chair Keith Powers was the only member of the Committee present for the public’s testimony, relegated to the end of the hearing – and, beside him, “Committee Counsel” Campagna;
- (5) reflecting why the City Council’s webpage for public testimony, <https://council.nyc.gov/testify/>, does not identify a two-minute limit for oral testimony by members of the public – or that oral testimony concerning more than a single appointee at a given hearing will not be afforded, as to each appointee, two minutes;
- (6) reflecting whether there is any precedent for what Rules Committee Chair Powers did in denying me two minutes to testify as to each of the two appointees for whom, prior to the hearing, I had submitted two separate registrations;
- (7) reflecting what investigation was done – and by whom -- prior to the hearing of my e-mails pertaining to the unfitness of Milton Williams, Jr., Esq., appointed chair of the New York City Conflicts of Interest Board, and Anthony Crowell, Esq., appointed to the New York City Planning Commission;
- (8) reflecting what investigation was done – and by whom -- subsequent to the hearing of my written and oral testimony in opposition to Messrs. Williams and Crowell – and whether findings of fact have been made with respect thereto;
- (9) reflecting whether my written testimony, sent to the City Counsel, including directly to Counsel Campagna and to Rules Committee Chair Powers prior to the hearing, was distributed to Committee members prior to the hearing;
- (10) reflecting whether, at tomorrow’s Rules Committee meeting at which the mayor’s five appointees are on the agenda, counsel and investigative staff will be presenting Committee members with findings with respect to my opposition testimony, including as to whether, based on the evidence, Messrs. Williams and Crowell can be confirmed consistent with the Charter’s express standards – and whether the meeting will be live-streamed or recorded;
- (11) reflecting whether, prior to the City Council’s session and vote on the appointments, the City Council members will be alerted to my written opposition testimony to Messrs. Williams and Crowell and findings made with respect thereto – and whether the session will be live-streamed or recorded;



(12) reflecting when was the last time that either the Rules Committee or City Council rejected an appointee.

Thank you.

Elena Sassower, Director  
Center for Judicial Accountability, Inc. (CJA)  
[www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org)  
914-421-1200  
[elena@judgewatch.org](mailto:elena@judgewatch.org)