

CENTER for JUDICIAL ACCOUNTABILITY, INC.

P.O. Box 69, Gedney Station  
White Plains, New York 10605-0069

Tel. (914) 421-1200  
Fax (914) 428-4994

E-Mail: [judgewatch@aol.com](mailto:judgewatch@aol.com)  
Web site: [www.judgewatch.org](http://www.judgewatch.org)

Elena Ruth Sassower, Coordinator

BY FAX: 212-416-8962 (2 pages)

September 7, 2001

Deputy Solicitor General Michael S. Belohlavek  
Office of New York State Attorney General Eliot Spitzer  
120 Broadway  
New York, New York 10271

RE: Elena Ruth Sassower, Coordinator of the Center for Judicial  
Accountability, Inc., acting pro bono publico, against Commission  
on Judicial Conduct of the State of New York (NY Co. #108551/99;  
App. Div. Cal. #2000-5434, October 2001 Term)

Dear Mr. Belohlavek:

I received your yesterday's fax stating:

"we would be happy to review [a] critique in considering your request that  
Ms. Fischer's opposition to your motion be withdrawn."

I TRUST such fax is not some cruel joke – designed to have me undertake the laborious work of preparing a fact-specific, law-supported critique *only* so that you can then send me a letter, declining, *without reasons*, to withdraw Ms. Fischer's August 30<sup>th</sup> Affirmation and Memorandum of Law in opposition to my motion. This is what you did by your June 14th letter, responding to my 66-page May 3rd Critique of Ms. Fischer's Respondent's Brief, wherein, *without reasons*, you blithely stated "we have no intention of withdrawing Ms. Fischer's brief". That letter is now Exhibit "X-1" to my August 17<sup>th</sup> motion.

IF you are sincere, the Attorney General's Office should be preparing to withdraw Ms. Fischer's Respondent's Brief. This, because Ms. Fischer's Affirmation and Memorandum of Law do NOT deny or dispute the accuracy of my 66-page Critique of her Respondent's Brief in ANY respect – a fact Ms. Fischer's August 30<sup>th</sup> Memorandum of Law (at pp. 9-12) shamelessly tries to justify by a spurious legal argument that the Attorney General's Office can engage in whatever

EX "EE"

76

misrepresentation of documents and decisions it wishes, but that this is not "fraud on the court" because these documents and decisions are "clearly before the Court in their complete form in Petitioner-Appellant's Appendix" (at p. 11) and because I have been able to challenge the Attorney General's misrepresentations by my advocacy (at p. 12).

IN THE HOPE you are sincere, I have begun work on the critique and will do my best to have it for you by Wednesday, September 12<sup>th</sup>. In the meantime, in view of Ms. Fischer's deceit, *inter alia*, that I have made "*unsupported, unproven allegations of widespread judicial wrongdoing*" (Memorandum of Law, at p. 6, emphases added) – as to which she conceals my *uncontroverted* analyses of the *factually-fabricated* and *legally-insupportable* decisions of Justices Cahn and Lehner – it is your supervisory duty to verify the truth of those analyses [A-52-5; 321-334]. As these were before Justice Wetzel in my proceeding, as likewise before the Appellate Division, First Department on the *Mantell* appeal, your verifying the accuracy of these analyses would, in essence, be verification of the fraudulence of Justice Wetzel's appealed-from decision, resting, *exclusively*, on the decisions of Justices Cahn and Lehner, and of the Appellate Division, First Department's appellate decision in *Mantell*, resting on Justice Lehner's decision. Moreover, as these analyses – and the evidentiary record which supported them – were, as my August 17<sup>th</sup> motion details (at pp. 9-28) and by annexed exhibits documents<sup>1</sup>, provided to Governor Pataki and Chief Judge Kaye, you will be able to also verify that my appeal exposes the misfeasance and criminal complicity of each of these high public officers – contrary to Ms. Fischer's deceit that my motion is based on "*rank speculation* which has *no* record support" (Memorandum of Law, at p. 7, emphases added).

Thank you.

Yours for a quality judiciary,



ELENA RUTH SASSOWER  
Petitioner-Appellant *Pro Se*

<sup>1</sup> See Exhibits "F"- "Q" to my August 17<sup>th</sup> motion.

TRANSMISSION VERIFICATION REPORT

TIME : 09/07/2001 14:36  
NAME : CJA  
FAX : 9144284994  
TEL : 9144211200

DATE, TIME	09/07 14:35
FAX NO./NAME	12124158962
DURATION	00:01:13
PAGE(S)	02
RESULT	OK
MODE	STANDARD ECM